Ok,

Got a little further now...

I introduced a third 'pseudo' column in the table definitions which I introduced into the PK/FK relationship, to see if indeed it was just missing out on the last column of the PK array.

Result is:

It's not missing out the field at all. It's now missing out the MIDDLE field of the PK composite.

The is the only field that is 'named differently' between the Outer/Inner tables, whereas the other columns match.

I understood earlier that I needed to 'rewrite' the query definition somewhere, such that it would translate the columns automatically.

I believe I actually did this in an earlier effort, so will now attempt to "retrace my steps" and see where I implemented this: although if I do it like I did it before... it likely won't work still - so I'm presuming I did something wrong previously.

Maybe a retrace and reread will open my eyes a bit further.

Glad I have ruled out a problem with the array though.

T.